




सत्यमेव जयते

आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय,
Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),
केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद
Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad
जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.
CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015
07926305065- टेलिफैक्स 07926305136



स्पीड पोस्ट

- क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(39)66/Ahd-South/2019-20/14444 TO 14419
- ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-08-2020-21
दिनांक Date : 19-04-2020 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 03/06/2020
आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित
Passed by Shri Akhilesh Kumar, Commissioner (Appeals)
- ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. MP/12/Dem/2018-19 दिनांक: 26.02.2019 , issued by Deputy
Commissioner, Div-V, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South
- घ अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent
Packaging Solutions
Ahmedabad





आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय,
Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद
Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad
जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.
CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015
☎ 07926305065- टेलिफैक्स 07926305136



स्पीड पोस्ट

- क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(84)127/Ahd-South/2019-20/111131 १०111136
- ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-07-2020-21
दिनांक Date : 17-04-2020 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 04/01/2020
आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित
Passed by Shri Akhilesh Kumar, Commissioner (Appeals)
- ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. MP/01/AR-I/Div-III/SUPDT/2019-20 दिनांक: 17.07.2019 ,
issued by superintendent, Div-AR-I, Div-III, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South
- घ अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent
Uni Mech Industries
Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

Revision application to Government of India :

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(iii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.



(क) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ख) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो, रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2nd माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद -380004

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2nd floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380004. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal). Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

- (3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellate Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूची-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."



ORDER - IN - APPEAL

M/s. Uni Mech Industries, Plot No.5401,Phase-IV,GIDC,Vatwa Industrial Estate, Ahmedabad-382445(henceforth referred as "appellant") has filed the present appeal against the Order-in-Original No.MP/01/AR-1/Div-III/SUPDT./2019-20 dated 17.07.2019(henceforth, referred as, "impugned order") passed by the Superintendent, Central Tax,AR-1, Division-III, Ahmedabad-South(henceforth, referred as "adjudicating authority").

2. The fact of the case, in brief are that during scrutiny of ER-1 returns for June 2016, it was observed by the Jurisdictional Range Superintendent that the appellant had short paid Central Excise Duty amounting to Rs.10,313/- on clearance of 11 units of 'Tanks'. It was alleged that the appellant had self assessed the value of said items as Rs.33,11,500/- for which applicable duty comes to Rs.4,13,938/-. However, they had paid duty amounting to Rs.4,03,675/- only resulting in short payment of duty. Accordingly, Show cause notice dated 06.07.2018 was issued for recovery of said duty along with interest and for imposition of penalty under Rule 25 of the Central Excise Rules,2002 read with Section 11AC (1)(a) of the Central Excise Act,1944. It was decided under impugned order confirming duty along with interest and penalty.

3. Being aggrieved, the appellant preferred this appeal contesting, *inter alia*, that in ER-1 return they mistakenly shown assessable value of 11 nogs of tanks as Rs.33,11,500/- instead of correct value Rs.32,29,000/- and provided invoice wise sales details. They contended that there was no short payment of duty.

4. In the personal hearing held on 11.02.2020 Shri Jagdish V Patel, consultant, reiterated the grounds of appeal memorandum. They filed additional submission along with clearance statement of June, 2016, copies of invoices and submitted that the adjudicating authority passed the order without considering said details which were provided by them.

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on records, grounds of appeal and submissions made at the time of personal hearing. The issue relates to mismatch in value shown in periodical return with value of invoices issued during June, 2016 based on which short



payment was detected which stands confirmed under the impugned order.

6. It is observed from the impugned order that the appellant had self declared value Rs.33,11,500/- in ER-1 returns which was not correct and hence they submitted correct value Rs.32,29,000/- before the adjudicating authority. However, it was observed by the adjudicating authority that for making such correction, the appellant was required to file revised returns in term of sub rule (8)(a) of Rule 12 of Central Excise Rules,2002 which they failed.

6.1. It is observed that the adjudicating authority has not taken into consideration the documents evidencing the revised value Rs.32,29,000/- which was submitted before him and proceeded to confirm the demand based on simple findings that the appellant failed in filing revised returns. This approach of the lower authority is not in consonance with the liberal environment created for trade and industry, more particularly when such mistake didn't result in revenue loss to the department. I observe that though the procedure of revised returns is prescribed, the same may not be insisted in such types of cases where, on scrutiny of record, no revenue loss occurs to the department. I observe that the adjudicating authority should have scrutinized the case records/invoices in question for determining the alleged short payment of duty which was noticed during scrutiny of returns. The appellant has submitted invoices which were not scrutinized and instead show cause notice was issued. This act on part of the Range Officer defeats the very purpose of Scrutiny of Returns prescribed by the department and has resulted in causing legal dispute which would have been avoided if documents were verified. Moreover, the adjudicating authority refrained from considering documents submitted for said value raising another ground of failure on the part of the appellant in filing of revised returns. I observe that based on non revision of returns only, tax liability cannot be fastened on any assessee if no short payment is detected otherwise. The appellant has provided copies of invoices and work sheet which includes clearance of 11 units of 'tanks' involving value Rs.32,29,000/- which on scrutiny found proper and correct to which I accept.

7. The appeal filed by the appellant is accordingly accepted and the impugned order is set aside.




8. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

Akhil Kumar
17th April, 2020..

(Akhil Kumar)
Commissioner, CGST (Appeals)

Date:



Attested

(D.A. Pasmal)
Superintendent
Central Tax (Appeals)
Ahmedabad.

By R.P.A.D.

To,

M/s. Uni Mech Industries,
Plot No.5401,Phase-IV,GIDC Vatwa Industrial Estate,
Ahmedabad-382445.

Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
2. The Principal Commissioner of Central Tax Ahmedabad -South.
3. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (System), Ahmedabad- South.
4. The Asstt./Deputy Commissioner, CGST Division-III, Ahmedabad - South.
5. Superintendent, Central Tax, AR-1, Division-III,
- ✓ 6. Guard File.
7. P.A. File